

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/608,535	06/30/2003	Jim Wei	14386	8251	
293 759	of DOWELL & DOWE	EXAMINER			
2111 Eisenhower		KALAFUT, STEPHEN J			
Suite 406 Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandra, VA 2	1745				
SHORTENED STATUTORY F	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONT	THS	01/25/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	4	Applicant(s)				
	10/608,535		WEI, JIM				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Stephen J. Kalafu	t ·	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period and the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COL .136(a). In no event, however d will apply and will expire S te, cause the application to	MMUNICATION. ver, may a reply be timel IX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	y filed e mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 (October 2006.			•			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi		l .		•			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,— · · ·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•		* :			
	the application						
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-46 and 48-51</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>23-26, 28-32, 34-36, 38, 40-46 and 48-51</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,, <u>00, 10 10 ana 10</u>	<u> </u>					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-14,16-19,21,22,27,33,37 and 3</u>	39 is/are rejected						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15 and 20</u> is/are objected to.	<u>oo</u> 10/410 10/0000						
8) Claim(s) <u>round zo</u> is/die objected to:	or election requiren	nent					
	or oloollorr roquiron	TOTAL	•				
Application Papers			•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		_					
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 October 2006</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the	attached Office A	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Burea	•	• •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified cop	pies not received		•			
	•		,				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	41 🗀 1	nterview Summary (F	PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Date	e				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· -	Notice of Informal Particle Other:	tent Application				

Art Unit: 1745

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-3, 6, 9-14, 16-19, 21, 22, 27, 33, 37 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Surampudi *et al.* (US 2001/0050230) in view of Rock (US 6,699,614), for reasons of record applied to original claims 7 and 9, and incorporating reasons applied to original claims 1 and 39.

Claims 4, 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Surampudi *et al.* in view of Rock as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, and further in view of Leger *et al.* (US 6,686,082).

Claims 15 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The apparatus with the features of claim 1, and also including curved or staggered protrusions is not taught by the prior art of record.

Claims 23-26, 28-32, 34-36, 38, 40-46 and 48-51 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 27 April 2006.

Art Unit: 1745

Applicant's arguments filed 26 October 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that, "the combination of Surampudi *et al.* and Rock lacks a reasonable expectation of success". According to applicant, the distribution or receiving areas of Rock combined with the fuel chamber and output conduit of Surampudi *et al.* would not improve gas equalization, because it would produce turbulence due to the lateral entry direction of fuel into the fuel supply chamber of Surampudi *et al.*, which would result in an unequal pressure on the nozzles into which the fuel flows from the supply chamber. This is not persuasive because teaches that turbulence "forms a more even flow" of fuel (section 0140). Thus, if applicant is correct and the incorporation of the distribution area of Rock into the fuel supply chamber of Surampudi *et al.* produces turbulence, this is taught by Surampudi *et al.* to have a beneficial effect. This would thus provide a reasonable expectation of success.

Applicant argues that Surampudi et al. discloses a gas flow grid, while Leger et al. disclose gas flow channels (emphasis in applicant's remarks), and that one would have to choose between either a grid or channels, each of them defeating the function of the other. This is not persuasive because the spaces between the islands of Surampudi et al. are themselves channels, which intersect between the columns and rows of islands. The islands would still thus be able to produce the desired turbulence when the channels between them are given a trapezium shape.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1745

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

This application contains claims 23-26, 28-32, 34-36, 38, 40-46 and 48-51 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 27 April 2006. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Kalafut whose telephone number is 571-272-1286. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

sjk

MAD.

Page 5

CROUP 1700